

# EXHIBIT

# A

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

----- X  
JESSICA RECHTSCHAFFER, X

Plaintiff, X

-against- X

THE CITY OF NEW YORK, a municipal entity; X

**MICHAEL BLOOMBERG, Mayor of the City of New** X

**York; RAYMOND KELLY, New York City Police** X

**Commissioner; STEPHEN HAMMERMAN,** X

**Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters; THOMAS** X

**DOEPFNER, Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Legal** X

**Matters, New York City Police Department; NYPD** X

**Special Counsel RUBY MARIN- JORDAN;** X

**JOSEPH ESPOSITO, Chief of Department,** X

**New York City Police Department;** X

**THOMAS GRAHAM; Commander, Disorders Control** X

**Unit, New York City Police Department; JOHN J.** X

**COLGAN, Assistant Chief and Commanding Officer,** X

**Pier 57; ORLANDO RIVERA, New York City** X

**Police Officer, Shield No. 25912; JOHN PARK, New** X

**York City Police Officer, Shield No. 20166; MICHAEL** X

**CUMMINGS, Det. Shield No. 254, New York City Police** X

**Officer; JOHN DOE 1, New York City Police Officer** X

**U/C Shield No. 6216, Intelligence Bureau, Special** X

**Services Unit; JOHN DOES 2-10, New York City Police** X

**Officers, Supervisors, and/or Commanders; individually** X

**and in their official capacity,** X

Defendants. X  
----- X

**FIRST AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

JURY TRIAL  
DEMANDED

05-CV-9930 (KMK) (JCF)

**PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. This is a civil rights action in which plaintiff, JESSICA RECHTSCHAFFER, seeks relief for defendants' violation, under color of state law, of her rights, privileges and immunities secured by the Civil Rights Act of 1871, 42 U.S.C. § 1983, the First, Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution, and the Constitution and laws of the

State of New York, during the Republican National Convention in New York City in 2004 (“the RNC”).

2. Defendants, THE CITY OF NEW YORK, a municipal entity; **MICHAEL BLOOMBERG, Mayor of the City of New York; RAYMOND KELLY, New York City Police Commissioner; STEPHEN HAMMERMAN, Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters, New York City Police Department; THOMAS DOEPFNER, Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters, New York City Police Department; NYPD Special Counsel RUBY MARIN-JORDAN; JOSEPH ESPOSITO, Chief of the New York Police Department; THOMAS GRAHAM, Commander, Disorder Control Unit, New York City Police Department; JOHN J. COLGAN, Assistant Chief, New York City Police Department, ORLANDO RIVERA, New York City Police Officer, Shield No. 25912; JOHN PARK, Shield No. 20166, New York City Police Officer; MICHAEL CUMMINGS, New York City Police Detective; JOHN DOE 1, New York City Undercover Police Officer, U/C Shield No. 6216, Intelligence Bureau, Special Services Unit; JOHN DOES 2-10, New York City Police Officers, Supervisors, and/or Commanders, acting individually and in their official capacities, jointly and severally, **implemented, enforced, encouraged, sanctioned and/or ratified policies, practices and/or customs to punish peaceful protest during the RNC by, inter alia, engaging in indiscriminate mass arrests which were unlawful and without probable cause, instituting a system of perjured sworn statements to attempt to justify those unlawful arrests, instituting a system of preventive detention to keep lawful peaceful demonstrators off the streets during the height of the RNC, requiring that all persons arrested in connection with the RNC be fingerprinted notwithstanding the level of offense and the arrestees’ possession of valid identification in violation of New York Criminal Procedure****

**Law § 160.10, and subjecting those arrested to intolerable and cruel and inhumane conditions, including denying them access to family members and to legal counsel for an inordinate and unreasonable amount of time and** did cause JESSICA RECHTSCHAFFER to be falsely arrested; subject to excessive and unreasonable force; deprived of liberty without due process of law; and maliciously prosecuted, *inter alia*.

3. **In promulgating and implementing these policies, defendants clearly knew that during the relevant period many thousands of people from New York City and around the country would converge in New York City to demonstrate their opposition to the military, foreign and domestic policies of the current United States government and to the nominee for president from the Republican Party, George W. Bush. Although some chose to engage in acts of civil disobedience to express their opposition to these policies and to the President, the overwhelming majority of those arrested, including Plaintiff JESSICA RECHTSCHAFFER, either expressed such opposition within the law and in a manner that followed the instructions and directions of NYPD police officers, or merely happened to be in the vicinity of what the NYPD perceived to be protest activity.**

4. **In addition to bringing the foregoing claims, plaintiff herein brings individual claims arising out of her individual arrest, including, without limitation, false arrest, false imprisonment, and excessive detention.**

5. Plaintiff seeks compensatory and punitive damages, declaratory relief, an award of attorneys fees and costs, and such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

#### **JURISDICTION**

6. Jurisdiction is conferred upon this Court by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 (3) and (4), as this action seeks redress for the violation of plaintiff's constitutional and civil rights.

7. Plaintiff's claim for declaratory relief is authorized by 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202 and Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

8. Plaintiff further invokes this Court's supplemental jurisdiction, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a), over any and all state constitutional and state law claims that are so related to the claims within the original jurisdiction of this Court that they form part of the same case or controversy.

### **VENUE**

9. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (b)(2), in that this is the judicial district in which the events giving rise to the claim occurred.

### **JURY DEMAND**

10. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury in this action on each and every one of her claims.

### **PARTIES**

11. Plaintiff, JESSICA RECHTSCHAFFER, is a citizen and resident of New York.

12. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK ("the City") is a municipal entity created and authorized under the laws of the State of New York. It is authorized by law to maintain a police department which acts as its agent in the area of law enforcement and for which it is ultimately responsible. The City assumes the risks incidental to the maintenance of a police force and the employment of police officers.

13. **Defendant MICHAEL BLOOMBERG is and was, all times relevant herein, the Mayor of the City of New York and the chief policy making official for the City and its departments, including the New York City Police Department ("NYPD") and is**

responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He is used in both his individual and official capacities.

14. Defendant RAYMOND KELLY is and was at all times relevant herein, the Police Commissioner for the City of New York, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

15. STEPHEN HAMMERMAN, is and was at all times relevant herein, the Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters for the New York City Police Department, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

16. THOMAS DOEPFNER, is and was at all times relevant herein, Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Legal Matters for the New York City Police Department, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

17. Defendant RUBY MARIN-JORDAN is, and was at all times relevant herein, Special Counsel to the New York City Police Department, and she is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. She is sued individually and in her official capacity.



18. Defendant JOSEPH ESPOSITO is and was at all times the Chief of Department of the NYPD, and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and /or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

19. Defendant THOMAS GRAHAM is the Commanding Officer of the Disorder Control Unit of the NYPD and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

20. Defendant JOHN J. COLGAN, is a Deputy Chief in the NYPD and was the senior official overseeing the NYPD's operations at Pier 57 and he is responsible, in whole and/or in part, for the creation, implementation, promulgation and enforcement of the policies, practices and/or customs complained of herein. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

21. Defendant Command and Supervisory officers are NYPD Command and Police Officers involved in the arrests of the plaintiffs and all of the actions and conduct associated therewith, including, *inter alia*, the use of force, the preferring of charges, the approval of charges, the prosecution of the plaintiffs, the abuse of criminal process, the cruel and inhumane conditions to which those arrested were subjected, the excessive and unnecessary detention, and the implementation of the challenged policies and practices in question herein. They are sued individually and in their official capacities.

22. Defendant ORLANDO RIVERA is, or was at all times relevant to this complaint, a police officer employed by the NYPD. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

23. Defendant JOHN PARK is, or was at all times relevant to this complaint, a police officer employed by the NYPD. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

24. Defendant MICHAEL CUMMINGS is, or was at all times relevant to this complaint, a police officer employed by the NYPD. He is sued individually and in his official capacity.

25. Defendant JOHN DOE 1, U/C Shield No. 6216 is, or was at all times relevant to this complaint, a police officer employed by the NYPD. The identity of JOHN DOE 1, who as of August 29, 2004, was assigned to the Intelligence Bureau, Special Services Unit, is known to defendant City of New York and the New York City Police Department, as well as, on information and belief, defendants ORLANDO RIVERA and/or JOHN PARK and/or one or more of the defendants JOHN DOES 2-10.

26. JOHN DOES 2-10 include individuals, known to defendant City of New York and the New York City Police Department, as well as, on information and belief, defendants ORLANDO RIVERA and/or JOHN PARK and/or defendant JOHN DOE 1. JOHN DOES 2-10 are, or at all times relevant to the complaint were, police officers, supervisors, and/or commanders in the NYPD, and include individuals who assisted and/or conspired to and/or acted in concert to and/or did engage in the violations of plaintiff=s rights described herein.

27. Defendants **BLOOMBERG, KELLY, HAMMERMAN, DOEPFNER, MARIN-JORDAN, ESPOSITO, GRAHAM, COLGAN, RIVERA, PARK, CUMMINGS, JOHN DOE 1, and JOHN DOES 2-10**, (collectively, “the individual defendants”) are, or at all times relevant to the complaint were, employees, agents, servants, and/or officers of the City of New York and/or the NYPD.



28. At all times relevant herein, each of the individual defendants acted under color of law in the course and scope of his duties and functions as an agent, employee, servant, and/or officer of the City and/or the NYPD in engaging in the conduct described herein.

29. At all times relevant herein, the individual defendants have acted for and on behalf of the City and/or the NYPD with the power and authority vested in them as officers, agents, servants, and/or employees of the City and/or the NYPD, and incidental to the lawful pursuit of their duties as officers, agents, servants, and/or employees of the City and/or the NYPD.

30. At all times relevant herein, the individual defendants violated clearly established constitutional standards under the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments of which a reasonable police officer and/or public official under his respective circumstances would have known.

**FACTS APPLICABLE TO ALL CLAIMS**

31. At or around 2:30 p.m. on August 29, 2004, plaintiff, JESSICA RECHTSCHAFFER, was lawfully present on the 34<sup>th</sup> Street between 6<sup>th</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> Avenues in Manhattan.

32. RECHTSCHAFFER was engaging in lawful, peaceable protest against the Republican National Convention and the policies of the president and his administration.

33. At or about the aforesaid time and place, RECHTSCHAFFER did observe members of the NYPD beating an individual, and did verbally protest the police violence.

34. At no time did RECHTSCHAFFER violate any law, regulation, or ordinance, or any order of a police officer.

35. At no time did RECHTSCHAFFER interfere with any police officer or police operations, or engage in any conduct that could reasonably be construed as endangering or threatening any police officer or other individual.

36. Notwithstanding the absence of probable cause or reasonable suspicion to believe plaintiff had committed any crime or offense, and based in whole or in part on plaintiff's having protested the police violence she had witnessed, one of the individual defendants grabbed RECHTSCHAFFER from behind and pushed her to the ground.

37. Plaintiff was pinned to the ground and handcuffed by one or more of the individual defendants.

38. One or more of the individual defendants did also mace plaintiff after she was secured, despite the fact that plaintiff was not and had not engaged in any conduct that could reasonably be construed as endangering or threatening any police officer or other individual, nor was she resisting arrest.

39. Several other individual defendants did assist and/or did act in concert in the foregoing assaultive and wrongful conduct, or did fail to intervene to stop the foregoing violations of plaintiff's constitutional rights.

40. The use of force described in the preceding paragraphs was objectively unreasonable in light of the circumstances then and there prevailing, and as such constituted excessive force directed against plaintiff's person, and did cause her injury.

41. Plaintiff was transported to Pier 57, where she was held in filthy conditions for many hours.

42. Plaintiff was eventually transported to 100 Centre Street, where she was arraigned at approximately 6 p.m. on August 30, and released on bail at approximately 11 p.m. that night.

43. While RECHTSCHAFFER was in custody, NYPD officers were sent to plaintiff's home, parent's home, and place of work as part of an investigation headed by defendant CUMMINGS, and people at those locations were questioned about plaintiff's political affiliations.

44. While in custody, plaintiff was fingerprinted, pursuant to a policy requiring that all persons arrested in connection with the RNC be fingerprinted notwithstanding the level of offense and the arrestees' possession of valid identification in violation of New York Criminal Procedure Law ' 160.10.

45. At her arraignment, plaintiff was charged with Assault in the First Degree, Assault on a Peace Officer, Police Officer, Fireman or EMS Professional, Reckless Endangerment in the First Degree, Hindering Prosecution in the Second Degree, Riot in the First Degree, Resisting Arrest, and Obstructing Governmental Administration in the Second Degree.

46. Plaintiff pleaded not guilty to all of the charges, which were false and falsely sworn to by defendant ORLANDO RIVERA, pursuant to a system of perjured sworn statements to attempt to justify unlawful arrests, based on false information provided by defendant JOHN DOE 1 and JOHN PARK, and, on information and belief, one or more of the defendants MICHAEL CUMMINGS and JOHN DOES 2-10, and were initiated and continued maliciously and without probable cause, in order to cover up the wrongful conduct of defendants.

47. Plaintiff was subsequently indicted by a grand jury for Riot in the Second Degree, Reckless Endangerment in the Second Degree, Obstructing Governmental Administration in the Second Degree, Resisting Arrest, and Hindering Prosecution in the Third Degree, based on the willfully false testimony of one or more of the individual defendants.

48. At her arraignment upon the indictment, plaintiff pleaded not guilty to all of the charges, which were initiated and continued maliciously and without probable cause, in order to cover up the wrongful conduct of defendants.

49. After many months and multiple court visits that required plaintiff to miss work, all of the charges were eventually dismissed because, on information and belief, the District Attorney realized that the charges were based on false statements and testimony of one or more of the individual defendants.

50. The individual defendants' acts and omissions described above were intentional, wanton, willful and malicious, and were performed with deliberate indifference and/or in reckless disregard of RECHTSCHAFFER's constitutional rights, entitling plaintiff to punitive damages.

**51. Each of the actions complained of herein was part of and pursuant to a policy, custom, and/or practice of the City and/or the NYPD of stifling protest during the RNC by engaging in mass arrests of demonstrators, those perceived to be demonstrators, and those merely in the vicinity of demonstrators, without individualized suspicion or probable cause.**

**52. Each of the actions complained of herein was part of and pursuant to a policy, custom, and/or practice of the City and/or the NYPD of stifling protest during the RNC by failing to properly screen, train, supervise, discipline and/or monitor police officers with respect to the rights of demonstrators, those perceived to be demonstrators, and those in the vicinity of demonstrators.**

53. The filthy, unhealthy and dangerous conditions at Pier 57, the inhumane treatment and denial of water and prompt medical attention that plaintiff suffered while in custody, and the

excessive length of time plaintiff was held in custody, *inter alia*, were part of and pursuant to a policy, custom, and/or practice of the NYPD and/or the City of stifling protest during the RNC by subjecting to abuse demonstrators, those perceived to be demonstrators, and those in the vicinity of demonstrators, and/or by encouraging, ratifying, sanctioning, and/or acting with deliberate indifference toward threats to the health, safety, and rights of such individuals.

54. Said policies, customs, and/or practices were created, designed, implemented, enforced, and/or ratified on behalf of the CITY OF NEW YORK and the NYPD by defendants KELLY and BLOOMBERG.

55. As a direct and proximate result of the foregoing actions, including defendants' wrongful policies, practices, customs and/or usages complained of herein, RECHTSCHAFFER has suffered physical injury, pain, and suffering, mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, and emotional distress, deprivation of liberty and property, and loss of income and other expenses.

#### **FEDERAL CLAIMS**

56. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 38 as if fully set forth herein.

57. The acts and conduct described above did violate RECHTSCHAFFER'S rights

- (a) not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law;
- (b) to be free from unreasonable search and seizure;
- (c) not to be subjected to excessive or unreasonable use of force;
- (d) to be free from false arrest, imprisonment, and unjustified detention;
- (e) not to be detained in cruel and inhumane conditions
- (f) not to be detained for an excessive period of time
- (g) to be free from malicious prosecution;
- (h) to engage in speech, peaceable assembly, and association
- (i) to be free from conspiracy to violate her federally protected rights,



all in violation of the First, Fourth, and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

59. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is liable for the foregoing violations inasmuch as they occurred pursuant to the municipal policies, practices, and/or customs described above.

58. As a result of the acts and conduct of the defendants complained of herein, plaintiff RECHTSCHAFFER has suffered physical injury, pain, and suffering, mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, and emotional distress, deprivation of liberty and property, and loss of income and other expenses.

### **STATE CLAIMS**

59. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 41 as if fully set forth herein.

60. The acts and conduct of the defendants constitute assault and battery, false arrest and imprisonment, malicious prosecution, abuse of process, negligence and gross negligence, negligence in training, hiring, and supervision, and conspiracy, under the laws of the State of New York. These acts and conduct also violated RECHTSCHAFFER's rights under Article I, 6, 8 and 12 of the Constitution of the State of New York.

61. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is liable for the actions of the individual defendants under the doctrine of respondeat superior.

62. As a result of the acts and conduct of the defendants complained of herein, RECHTSCHAFFER has suffered physical injury, pain, and suffering, mental anguish, embarrassment, humiliation, and emotional distress, deprivation of liberty and property, and loss of income and other expenses.



63. Plaintiff served a notice of claim upon defendant CITY OF NEW YORK within 90 days of the incident and has otherwise complied with the statutory requirements of the General Municipal Law of the State of New York. Although more than 30 days have elapsed since service of the notice and since plaintiff's 50-h hearing, this defendant has neglected to adjust or pay such claim.

**WHEREFORE**, plaintiff demands the following relief jointly and severally against all defendants:

- (a) a declaration that defendants violated the federal rights of plaintiff;
- (b) compensatory damages for physical, emotional, and economic injuries suffered by plaintiff by reason of defendants' unlawful and unjustified conduct, in an amount just and reasonable and in conformity with the evidence at trial;
- (c) punitive damages against the individual defendants to the extent allowable by law;
- (d) attorneys fees;
- (e) the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- (f) such other and further relief as appears just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York  
August 27, 2007

\_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_\_\_  
JONATHAN C. MOORE (JM 6902)  
RACHEL M. KLEINMAN (RK 2141)  
99 Park Avenue, Suite 1600  
New York, New York 10016  
(212) 353-9587

*Attorneys for the Plaintiff*

# **EXHIBIT**

# **B**

**In The Matter Of:**

*Deidre MacNamara v.  
The City of New York, et al.*

---

*Stephen L. Hammerman  
Vol. 1, August 1, 2007*

---

*Greenhouse Reporting, Inc.  
Computerized Litigation Support  
363 Seventh Avenue  
20th Floor  
New York, NY 10001  
(212) 279-5108 FAX: (212) 279-5431*

*Original File SH080207.V1, 261 Pages  
Min-U-Script® File ID: 2112786911*

**Word Index included with this Min-U-Script®**

Stephen L. Hammerman

Vol. 1, August 1, 2007

Deidre MacNamara Veidr  
The City of New York, et al the C

Page 3

*S.L. Hammerman*

[1] STEPHEN L. HAMMERMAN,  
[2] having been first duly sworn by Lisa  
[3] Rosenfeld, a Notary Public for the State of  
[4] New York, was examined and testified as  
[5] follows:

EXAMINATION BY

MR. MOORE:

[6] Q: Can you state your name for the  
[7] record, please, and spell your last name?

[8] A: Stephen L. Hammerman,  
[9] H-a-m-m-e-r-m-a-n.

[10] Q: Mr. Hammerman, my name is Jonathan  
[11] Moore, we met just a moment ago.

[12] A: Yes, sir.

[13] Q: I'm an attorney in a case called  
[14] MacNamara versus City of New York. And you're  
[15] called here today to give testimony in that case  
[16] and some other cases as well. Do you understand  
[17] that?

[18] A: Yes, I do.

[19] Q: Have you ever sat for a deposition  
[20] before?

[21] A: Yes, I have.

[22] Q: On how many occasions?

Page 4

*S.L. Hammerman*

[1] A: One, I think.

[2] Q: What was that, what was that case  
[3] about?

[4] A: Somebody — some matter dealing with  
[5] the police department. Tom Doepfner can maybe  
[6] help me out, it was a brief deposition dealing  
[7] with whether or not I had knowledge that there  
[8] was a flaw in the system at the police department  
[9] regarding the deletion of names of individuals  
[10] who mistakenly had warrants out for their arrest.

[11] Q: Were you just a witness in that case  
[12] or were you a defendant in that action?

[13] A: I don't recall but I'll ask, if I  
[14] might, Mr. Doepfner.

[15] MR. FARRELL: You just have to  
[16] testify from your personal knowledge.

[17] A: I don't recall.

[18] Q: When was that deposition given?

[19] A: Sometime last year.

[20] Q: Is that case still pending now?

[21] A: No.

[22] Q: Do you know the name of the case?

[23] A: No, I don't, sir.

[24] Q: How long are you in the position of

Page 5

*S.L. Hammerman*

[1] deputy commissioner for legal matters at NYPD?

[2] A: Three years.

[3] Q: What was the date you began and the  
[4] date you ended?

[5] A: It was sometime early in February of  
[6] the year '02 and I retired on December 31st, '04.

[7] Q: Just briefly tell me what your job  
[8] was prior to February of '02.

[9] A: I was vice chairman of the board of  
[10] Merrill Lynch & Company.

[11] Q: How long had you been at Merrill  
[12] Lynch?

[13] A: I was there twice, 1978 for one year,  
[14] I left to become regional administrator of the  
[15] Securities and Exchange Commission, and I went  
[16] back in 1981 until my date of retirement.

[17] MR. ROTHMAN: Until what?

[18] THE WITNESS: Until my date of  
[19] retirement.

[20] Q: In your career at Merrill Lynch did  
[21] you ever serve in a position of general counsel?

[22] A: I was general counsel, I don't recall  
[23] how many years I had that title. I had been  
[24] general counsel.

Page 6

*S.L. Hammerman*

[1] Q: But the last several years you were  
[2] not in the role of general counsel?

[3] A: I had the title but the department  
[4] was pretty much run by other people.

[5] Q: Even while you were vice chairman you  
[6] retained the title general counsel?

[7] A: I did.

[8] Q: Do you have a law degree?

[9] A: I do.

[10] Q: Where did you get your law degree?

[11] A: 1962.

[12] Q: From where?

[13] A: NYU.

[14] Q: As I understand it, following that  
[15] day you served in the U.S. Attorney's Office?

[16] A: I did.

[17] Q: For how long?

[18] A: 1964 to 1968, I recall.

[19] Q: Did you have any legal jobs from '62  
[20] to '64?

[21] A: I did. I was an associate at the law  
[22] firm of Dewey Ballantine.

[23] Q: Was it after '68 when you first  
[24] joined Merrill Lynch?



Page 59

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] they were going to perform during the Republican  
[2] National Convention?

[3] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.

[4] **A:** Not that I recall.

[5] **Q:** Did you attend any meetings of  
[6] attorneys in the Legal Bureau where issues such  
[7] as the rights of demonstrators of the First  
[8] Amendment or civil disobedience were discussed?

[9] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.

[10] **A:** I just don't recall, I'm sorry.

[11] **Q:** Did you yourself receive any training  
[12] with respect to duties that you were expected to  
[13] perform at demonstrations during the RNC?

[14] **A:** No, sir.

[15] **Q:** Did you have any specific assignments  
[16] with respect to your duties during the Republican  
[17] National Convention?

[18] **A:** Other than my normal responsibilities  
[19] of overseeing the Legal Bureau?

[20] **Q:** Yes.

[21] **A:** No, sir.

[22] **Q:** Were you assigned to be present at  
[23] any particular demonstration on any particular  
[24] day?

Page 60

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] **A:** No, sir.

[2] **Q:** Were you consulted during the period  
[3] of the Republican National Convention by members  
[4] of your staff concerning decisions with respect  
[5] to whether orders of dispersal or arrest  
[6] decisions were made?

[7] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.

[8] **A:** I apologize, did you say was I  
[9] consulted?

[10] **Q:** Yes. Were you consulted by members  
[11] of your staff?

[12] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.

[13] **A:** Not that I recall.

[14] **Q:** Were you consulted by members of the  
[15] police department at any particular time during  
[16] the RNC about whether a group should be arrested  
[17] for whatever reason?

[18] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.

[19] **A:** No, sir.

[20] **Q:** Did you attend meetings, inter agency  
[21] meetings, meetings where members of Secret  
[22] Service, FBI, other law enforcement agencies,  
[23] other groups, were present meeting with members  
[24] of the NYPD to discuss arrest processing?

Page 61

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] **A:** No, sir.

[2] **Q:** Were you made aware of any specific  
[3] intelligence concerning any specific threats of  
[4] disruption that were expected to occur during the  
[5] RNC?

[6] **MR. FARRELL:** Don't say anything yet.  
[7] Objection. I'm asserting the law  
[8] enforcement privilege to the extent and as  
[9] outlined in our present motion practice.

[10] I will let the witness answer as to  
[11] non-privileged intelligence. I'm just  
[12] going to consult with the witness to make  
[13] sure that he understands the privilege  
[14] assertion.

[15] **MR. MOORE:** Let me just say before  
[16] you consult, maybe the witness should step  
[17] outside while we have this discussion.

[18] **THE WITNESS:** You want me to go  
[19] outside?

[20] **MR. MOORE:** Yes, please. No offense.

[21] (Witness leaves the deposition room)

[22] **MR. MOORE:** I'm asking him a question  
[23] I've asked every witness I've ever done a  
[24] deposition of. Were you aware of any

Page 62

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] specific intelligence about expected  
[2] disruptions of the RNC. Nobody's objected  
[3] to that question in that form until now.

[4] **MR. FARRELL:** I wasn't present at —  
[5] no deposition that I've defended have you  
[6] asked that question.

[7] **MR. MOORE:** That's not true. That's  
[8] not true, Peter. You know, nobody has  
[9] tried to distinguish between open source  
[10] and some other source of information.  
[11] I've asked them what they were made —  
[12] what they were aware of in terms of  
[13] intelligence and I don't see how you could  
[14] now instruct this witness — I suspect his  
[15] answer is going to be no anyway.

[16] **MR. FARRELL:** Two things, one is I  
[17] have taken the position at prior  
[18] depositions and we have in court papers  
[19] that and the information we made available  
[20] as you are aware is the open source  
[21] information that we've produced. We  
[22] produced that in hard documentation. And  
[23] we currently have a dispute over  
[24] information sought regarding non-open

Page 99

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] [2] forgive me and perhaps my colleagues then can  
[3] fill me in. Were you the person during the RNC  
[4] who was responsible for defining the role that  
[5] the Legal Bureau would play during the RNC?  
[6] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection. Alan, with  
[7] all due respect, this was covered in great  
[8] detail by Mr. Moore this morning when you  
[9] were not present.  
[10] **MR. LEVINE:** If he'll give me a yes  
[11] or no we'll take it as a predicate  
[12] question, and if it goes beyond and  
[13] repeats things that Jonathan asked, then  
[14] I'll refrain.  
[15] **A:** The duties of the lawyers from the  
[16] Legal Bureau were primarily overseen by  
[17] Commissioner Tom Doepfner.  
[18] **Q:** And who made particular assignments  
[19] during the RNC, was that Commissioner Doepfner?  
[20] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.  
[21] **A:** Yes.  
[22] **Q:** Now I have in mind two particular  
[23] assignments, one which is my particular concern  
[24] that is events that took place at the World Trade  
[25] Center on August 31st, 2001, in the afternoon

Page 100

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] [2] there was a demonstration at that time. Do you  
[3] know anything about that demonstration?  
[4] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.  
[5] **A:** No, Alan, not that I recall.  
[6] **Q:** You don't recall seeing any videos of  
[7] that demonstration?  
[8] **A:** No, sir.  
[9] **Q:** Do you have any recollection of  
[10] hearing about it before it took place?  
[11] **A:** No recollection.  
[12] **Q:** Do I take it from all of that that  
[13] you have no idea who, if anybody, from the Legal  
[14] Bureau was assigned to that demonstration?  
[15] **A:** No, sir, I do not.  
[16] **Q:** Have you ever heard the organization  
[17] called the War Resisters League?  
[18] **A:** I don't recall, sir.  
[19] **Q:** So I take it you have no recollection  
[20] of their involvement in the RNC demonstration?  
[21] **A:** Not that I recall.  
[22] **Q:** Now I said I have in mind two  
[23] assignments, one you recall nothing about, the  
[24] other has to do with Pier 57, who made the  
[25] assignments of Legal Bureau personnel to Pier 57?

Page 101

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] [2] **A:** Commissioner Doepfner.  
[3] **Q:** And you had nothing to do with those  
[4] assignments?  
[5] **MR. FARRELL:** Objection.  
[6] **MR. LEVINE:** Withdrawn.  
[7] **Q:** Did he discuss those assignments with  
[8] you?  
[9] **A:** No, sir.  
[10] **Q:** Do you know the people who were  
[11] assigned to Pier 57 at various times during the  
[12] RNC?  
[13] **A:** Most of them, yes.  
[14] **Q:** Are you able to recall who was there  
[15] at given times?  
[16] **A:** No, sir.  
[17] **Q:** Commissioner Doepfner made the  
[18] assignments, is that right?  
[19] **A:** It's my understanding people working  
[20] for him might have made assignments on his  
[21] behalf.  
[22] **Q:** Who in the department below  
[23] Commissioner Doepfner would have been involved in  
[24] making those assignments?  
[25] **A:** Alan, I have no idea.

Page 102

**S.L. Hammerman**

[1] [2] **Q:** Can you tell me who was at Pier 57  
[3] beginning with the highest ranked personnel. I  
[4] guess that's you, for one, you were there at some  
[5] times?  
[6] **A:** I visited there on or off.  
[7] **Q:** Who else do you know who was there?  
[8] **A:** You're talking about the Legal Bureau  
[9] standpoint, sir?  
[10] **Q:** Yes?  
[11] **A:** Commissioner Doepfner popped in once  
[12] in a while.  
[13] **Q:** Beyond the rank of anybody else who  
[14] might have been there?  
[15] **A:** Let me try to remember who was there.  
[16] Ruby Marin was there and that's all I recall  
[17] right now.  
[18] **Q:** You don't know when Ms. Marin was  
[19] there?  
[20] **A:** No, sir, I do not.  
[21] **Q:** And her position in the department  
[22] was what?  
[23] **A:** She was a senior attorney for the  
[24] department. She's sitting here right now.  
[25] That's the young lady over there I'm not allowed



Page 101

Page 103

**S.L. Hammerman**

(1) to talk to. I've met her on other occasions or  
(2) at least been in the same room with her on other  
(3) occasions.  
(4)

(5) Q: Do you know anything about the  
(6) offense of parading without a permit?

(7) MR. FARRELL: Objection.

(8) A: Do I know there is an offense of  
(9) parading without a permit, yes.

(10) Q: Let's start with that, the answer to  
(11) that is yes?

(12) A: I do know there is such a  
(13) prohibition, yes.

(14) Q: Do you know the circumstances under  
(15) which it is committed, under which such an  
(16) offense is committed?

(17) MR. FARRELL: Objection.

(18) A: No, sir.

(19) Q: Let me put it differently. Do you  
(20) know when people are walking on the streets or  
(21) sidewalks are required to get a parade permit?

(22) MR. FARRELL: Objection.

(23) A: I couldn't give you the details, I  
(24) just don't know.

(25) Q: Were you involved in any discussions

Page 102

Page 104

**S.L. Hammerman**

(1) during the RNC about persons charged with the  
(2) offense of parading without a permit?

(3) A: No, sir.

(4) Q: Would you give the same answer to the  
(5) same question about disorderly conduct?

(6) MR. FARRELL: Objection.

(7) A: Not that I recall.

(8) MR. LEVINE: Off the record for a  
(9) moment.

(10) (Discussion off the record)

(11) Q: Just a couple of more questions. Who  
(12) were your direct reports within the department?  
(13) Commissioner Doepfner for one, right?

(14) A: Yes, Commissioner Doepfner,  
(15) Commissioner Debbie Zoland, Z-o-l-a-n-d.

(16) MR. ROTHMAN: What's the first name?

(17) THE WITNESS: Debbie.

(18) Q: Is it Deborah?

(19) A: I don't know.

(20) Q: Debbie i-e, Zoland?

(21) A: Z-o-l-a-n-d. One was Commissioner  
(22) Susan Petito, Petito, and director Tom Prasso,  
(23) P-r-a-s-s-o.

(24) Q: Also a commissioner?

Page 105

**S.L. Hammerman**

(1) A: No, he's director of a licensing  
(2) bureau, the Gun Licensing Bureau.

(3) Q: Which, if any, of them, and maybe  
(4) you've already told us, was most directly  
(5) involved with Legal Bureau work during the RNC,  
(6) was that Commissioner Doepfner?

(7) A: Yes, sir.

(8) Q: And do you know who his direct  
(9) reports are?

(10) A: I'll try and give you some of them.  
(11) Captain Sweet, S-w-e-e-t. There were several, I  
(12) apologize, I just don't remember the names.

(13) Q: Let me focus a bit more to the events  
(14) with which we're really concerned. Do you know  
(15) which of his direct reports was most activity  
(16) involved in events around the RNC?

(17) MR. FARRELL: Objection.

(18) A: Most actively involved, no, my only  
(19) embarrassment is not remembering the names. So  
(20) whoever his direct reports were had an  
(21) involvement. Most direct — I think Commissioner  
(22) Doepfner, I don't know the answer to that.

(23) Q: So is it fair — with regard to  
(24) Commissioner Doepfner, he's the person who on a  
(25)

Page 106

**S.L. Hammerman**

(1) day-to-day basis supervised the work of Legal  
(2) Bureau during the RNC, is that correct?

(3) MR. FARRELL: Objection.

(4) A: In that phase — yes, for the RNC,  
(5) yes. There were other legal activities going on  
(6) unrelated to the Republican National Convention.

(7) Q: Do you know if he held daily meetings  
(8) with his subordinates during the RNC?

(9) A: No.

(10) Q: But you did not?

(11) A: No, I did not.

(12) Q: Is it fair to say that with regard to  
(13) the operations of the Legal Bureau during the  
(14) RNC, you delegated your authority to Commissioner  
(15) Doepfner?

(16) MR. FARRELL: Objection.

(17) A: Yes.

(18) Q: I've enjoyed this but that's not a  
(19) good reason to continue, thank you.

(20) (Lunch recess: 1:07 p.m.)

(21)

(22)

(23)

(24)

(25)

# **EXHIBIT**

# **C**

Cai Deposition

Page 86

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 squad, correct?  
 3 A. I assume so.  
 4 Q. Do you know what he was doing on  
 5 the scene?  
 6 A. No.  
 7 Q. Do you remember if he was making  
 8 any dispersal orders?  
 9 A. No.  
 10 Q. Do you remember if he told  
 11 anybody to move backward?  
 12 A. No.  
 13 Q. Turning back to your entry for  
 14 2100, it says that -- did anybody tell you to  
 15 make this entry at Pier 57 when you were at  
 16 the pier?  
 17 A. Yes.  
 18 Q. Who told you to make this?  
 19 A. By the legal service, legal  
 20 bureau.  
 21 Q. Did they tell you why wanted you  
 22 to make an entry in your memo book?  
 23 MR. WEILER: Objection. I'm not  
 24 going to let the witness answer.  
 25 That's attorney-client privileged

Page 87

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 conversation.  
 3 Q. You say that -- do you remember  
 4 the individual from the legal bureau who you  
 5 spoke to?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. Who was that?  
 8 A. I don't know his name.  
 9 Q. Do you know his rank?  
 10 A. Lieutenant, I believe lieutenant.  
 11 Q. Was he dressed in uniform?  
 12 A. No; in business attire.  
 13 Q. Business suit?  
 14 A. A shirt and business pants.  
 15 Q. Was that like a polo shirt --  
 16 A. Polo shirt.  
 17 Q. -- like I'm wearing with a loose  
 18 collar and a few buttons by the neck?  
 19 A. I don't remember the details.  
 20 Q. Okay. How did you know he was a  
 21 lieutenant?  
 22 A. Because I've seen him before.  
 23 Q. Where had you seen him before?  
 24 A. In previous demonstrations.  
 25 Q. Was he present on the scene at

Page 88

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 35th Street?  
 3 A. No.  
 4 Q. Did you see anybody from the  
 5 legal bureau present on 35th Street?  
 6 A. Not to my knowledge.  
 7 Q. Was he a thin man?  
 8 A. He's a little bald-headed, white,  
 9 approximately early 50s, about 160 pounds,  
 10 5 feet 7. That's about it.  
 11 Q. And you just came up with the  
 12 description from memory?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. If I told you the name Daniel  
 15 Albano, would that ring a bell to you?  
 16 A. No.  
 17 Q. Did the legal bureau instruct you  
 18 to write down anything on any other paperwork  
 19 other than this entry that they instructed you  
 20 to make in your memo book?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. What other --  
 23 A. The online booking sheet.  
 24 Q. Did the legal bureau give you the  
 25 words that you should use to write in your

Page 89

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 memo book?  
 3 A. Yes.  
 4 Q. Did the legal bureau give you the  
 5 words you should write on your online booking  
 6 sheet?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. When it says here that these  
 9 people marched with over 100 others on the  
 10 sidewalk and forced pedestrians into the  
 11 street, where were the pedestrians forced into  
 12 the street on the diagram that you wrote here?  
 13 A. Pedestrians, I didn't see any.  
 14 Q. You didn't see what?  
 15 A. Pedestrians. Any pedestrians.  
 16 Q. Did you see any pedestrians at  
 17 any point forced from the sidewalk onto the  
 18 street?  
 19 A. No.  
 20 Q. In your memo book entry here for  
 21 2100 hours it says that these five individuals  
 22 marched with 100 others on the sidewalk  
 23 forcing pedestrians into the street.  
 24 A. If it would have been pedestrians  
 25 on the sidewalk, there's no way for them to



Page 90

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 cross, to walk to the sidewalk.  
 3 Q. Did you see any pedestrians ever  
 4 forced onto the street?  
 5 A. I couldn't tell. It would have  
 6 been pedestrians and, you know --  
 7 Q. You couldn't tell?  
 8 A. I couldn't tell.  
 9 Q. And here it says that the order  
 10 to disperse was given by Captain Bologna, it  
 11 says in the memo book, right?  
 12 A. Yes.  
 13 Q. You said earlier that you did not  
 14 hear Captain Bologna give any orders to  
 15 disperse, correct?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. Why does it say in your memo book  
 18 that the order was given by Captain Bologna?  
 19 A. We were told by them, the legal  
 20 service, the legal bureau.  
 21 MR. WEILER: Jeff, can we take a  
 22 short break, a five-minute break?  
 23 MR. ROTHMAN: Yeah, all right.  
 24 MR. WEILER: Thanks. I wanted to  
 25 confer with the witness. You can note

Page 91

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 that for the record, if you'd like, but  
 3 I just want to take a five-minute  
 4 break.  
 5 MR. ROTHMAN: Yes, I will note  
 6 for the record that you are going to  
 7 ^ CHECK.  
 8 (Witness and counsel conferring.)  
 9 MR. ROTHMAN: We're back on the  
 10 record.  
 11 BY MR. ROTHMAN:  
 12 Q. We have just taken a little  
 13 break, and during that break have you  
 14 consulted with your lawyer?  
 15 A. Yes, I have.  
 16 Q. Have you consulted with regard to  
 17 the content of your testimony?  
 18 MR. WEILER: Objection. You  
 19 can't -- this is discussions that we  
 20 had attorney to client. You can't ask  
 21 what we talked about.  
 22 MR. ROTHMAN: I didn't ask the  
 23 specifics. What the contents of his  
 24 testimony, that I can ask.  
 25 MR. WEILER: Well, you can ask if

Page 92

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 It generally concerned the deposition  
 3 today, but nothing about the content of  
 4 it, which you may not have. I wanted  
 5 to put that objection --  
 6 MR. ROTHMAN: I'm not asking  
 7 whether you discussed the artwork in  
 8 the conference room, I mean.  
 9 Q. Did you discuss the contents of  
 10 your testimony, did you discuss that which  
 11 you're testifying about?  
 12 MR. WEILER: Objection. It's  
 13 exactly ^ CHECK we talked about matters  
 14 related to this deposition. But I'm  
 15 not going to let you ask specifically  
 16 what we talked about.  
 17 I'm sure you can surmise that  
 18 that's what we talked about, but I'm  
 19 not going to allow him to answer what  
 20 we talked about.  
 21 MR. ROTHMAN: I didn't ask the  
 22 specific areas. I just whether --  
 23 Q. Did you consult with your  
 24 attorney about your deposition testimony?  
 25 A. Yes, I did.

Page 93

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 Q. Was Lieutenant Wolfe present on  
 3 35th Street?  
 4 A. I don't recall.  
 5 Q. Do you know -- you don't recall?  
 6 A. I don't recall. He could be  
 7 there, but I --  
 8 Q. Do you know if he was in charge  
 9 of Sergeant Crimmins and your van that day?  
 10 A. I don't recall.  
 11 Q. Do you know a police chief named  
 12 Smolka?  
 13 A. Yes, I know him.  
 14 Q. Bruce Smolka?  
 15 A. Bruce Smolka.  
 16 Q. Was he there on 35th Street that  
 17 day?  
 18 A. I don't know.  
 19 Q. Do you know a sergeant named  
 20 Donnelly?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. Is he also within the task force?  
 23 A. Yes.  
 24 Q. Was he present there that day?  
 25 A. I don't know.

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 110</p> <p>1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript</p> <p>2 pepper sprayed them?</p> <p>3 A. No.</p> <p>4 Q. Did you ever hear Officer Frias</p> <p>5 make any reference to pepper spray?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. Did Officer Frias arrive with you</p> <p>8 to Pier 57?</p> <p>9 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>10 Q. Do you remember having any</p> <p>11 conversations at all with any of your</p> <p>12 arrestees prior to the time when you arrived</p> <p>13 at Pier 57?</p> <p>14 A. No.</p> <p>15 Q. When you arrived at Pier 57, what</p> <p>16 happened, sir?</p> <p>17 A. When I arrived and -- okay, when</p> <p>18 we arrived, I took them out of the prisoners</p> <p>19 wagon, and they were tables set up at the</p> <p>20 piers that each prisoner has to go to each</p> <p>21 table for a total search by NYPD personnels</p> <p>22 over there, okay, and all the belongings were</p> <p>23 vouchered by the NYPD personnels at the pier.</p> <p>24 Q. And then what happened to the</p> <p>25 people?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 112</p> <p>1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript</p> <p>2 people put into at the pier?</p> <p>3 A. Could you clarify that, what do</p> <p>4 you mean?</p> <p>5 Q. Were they cells, were they cages,</p> <p>6 were they made out of fencing?</p> <p>7 A. They were cages.</p> <p>8 Q. And they were made out of</p> <p>9 fencing?</p> <p>10 A. Fencing, yeah.</p> <p>11 Q. Did they have any barbed wire at</p> <p>12 the top?</p> <p>13 A. I don't recall that.</p> <p>14 Q. When did you have your</p> <p>15 conversation with the legal bureau?</p> <p>16 A. Remember when I told you the</p> <p>17 tables were set up at the piers?</p> <p>18 Q. Yes.</p> <p>19 A. One of the tables were the legal</p> <p>20 bureau.</p> <p>21 Q. Did you speak with them after</p> <p>22 your arrestees were put into the cages?</p> <p>23 A. Yes.</p> <p>24 Q. And was the first step after your</p> <p>25 arrestees were placed into the cages to speak</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 111</p> <p>1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript</p> <p>2 A. And then they were taken into the</p> <p>3 cells, holding cells, at the pier.</p> <p>4 Q. Were you involved in vouchering</p> <p>5 their property?</p> <p>6 A. No.</p> <p>7 Q. What were you doing while they</p> <p>8 were having their property vouchered?</p> <p>9 A. I was, you can say, supervising,</p> <p>10 watching. I was watching the whole process.</p> <p>11 Q. So were you there when their</p> <p>12 property was vouchered?</p> <p>13 A. Yes.</p> <p>14 Q. Did you place them into the cell</p> <p>15 at Pier 57?</p> <p>16 A. No. Later. The corrections did.</p> <p>17 Q. Corrections?</p> <p>18 A. Corrections.</p> <p>19 Q. Not NYPD, but Department of</p> <p>20 Corrections personnel?</p> <p>21 A. Yes.</p> <p>22 Q. How do you know they were</p> <p>23 Department of Corrections personnel?</p> <p>24 A. Different patches.</p> <p>25 Q. What kind of cells were the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 113</p> <p>1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript</p> <p>2 with the legal bureau?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. What was the purpose of speaking</p> <p>5 to the legal bureau?</p> <p>6 A. So we could be interviewed by the</p> <p>7 legal bureau, and they will help us to write</p> <p>8 the narrative more professionally.</p> <p>9 Q. To write the narrative --</p> <p>10 A. More professionally.</p> <p>11 Q. More professionally, okay. Was</p> <p>12 that the narrative -- on what document?</p> <p>13 A. On the online booking sheet and</p> <p>14 my memo book entry, obviously.</p> <p>15 Q. When you went up to speak with</p> <p>16 them -- withdrawn.</p> <p>17 Who told you that you should go</p> <p>18 over and talk to the legal bureau?</p> <p>19 A. Because there were tables being</p> <p>20 set up and we were told by the supervisors at</p> <p>21 the pier. I don't know his name.</p> <p>22 Q. Do you know the names of any</p> <p>23 supervisors at the pier?</p> <p>24 A. No.</p> <p>25 Q. Did Sergeant Crimmins come to</p>



Page 114

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 Pier 57?  
 3 A. Yes, he did.  
 4 Q. What was he doing with regard to  
 5 the processing of the arrestees?  
 6 A. Supervising.  
 7 Q. Do you remember having  
 8 conversations with him at the pier?  
 9 A. I don't recall.  
 10 Q. Do you remember if he also spoke  
 11 to the legal bureau?  
 12 A. I don't recall.  
 13 Q. Well, what do you remember him  
 14 doing at the pier?  
 15 A. He was just walking around making  
 16 sure everything's okay, I guess.  
 17 Q. Do you remember any other members  
 18 from your task force being at the pier?  
 19 A. I don't recall.  
 20 Q. What's Sergeant Crimmins' first  
 21 name?  
 22 A. Thomas.  
 23 Q. When you went to speak to the  
 24 legal bureau, they gave you the words to put  
 25 in your memo book and on the online booking

Page 116

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 Q. Did they recite to you the words  
 3 that you would write down, and you wrote it  
 4 down as they said the words, is that how it  
 5 went?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. For both your memo book and the  
 8 online booking system worksheet?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. That was also the same lieutenant  
 11 from the legal division that you mentioned?  
 12 A. Yes.  
 13 Q. After that, was there another  
 14 review by any other supervisors in the NYPD of  
 15 your arrest paperwork at Pier 57?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. What was the other review?  
 18 A. I don't know.  
 19 Q. Was it a sergeant?  
 20 A. I have no idea.  
 21 Q. Do you know if it was from the  
 22 Criminal Justice Bureau?  
 23 A. I don't know because what  
 24 happened, we were finished our paperwork and  
 25 we just hand them in for processing.

Page 115

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 system worksheet?  
 3 A. Yeah.  
 4 Q. Did they speak to you first to  
 5 find out where the arrest had taken place?  
 6 A. Yes, they did.  
 7 Q. Did they speak to you to find out  
 8 any other information other than where the  
 9 arrest had taken place?  
 10 A. Yes.  
 11 Q. What else did they want to know  
 12 from you?  
 13 A. They basically asked me about  
 14 what I saw and what was taking place at the  
 15 particular time and location, and what I did  
 16 observed.  
 17 Q. And after they asked you what you  
 18 saw and did, they then told you what to write  
 19 in the narrative portion on the online booking  
 20 sheet and in your memo book?  
 21 A. That's correct.  
 22 Q. Is the only individual from the  
 23 legal bureau you spoke to the lieutenant who  
 24 you mentioned earlier?  
 25 A. Yes.

Page 117

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 Q. Do you know who you handed it in  
 3 to?  
 4 A. No.  
 5 Q. But when you handed it in, there  
 6 was somebody else who reviewed them?  
 7 A. Who reviewed them, yes.  
 8 Q. And signed off on them?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. Do you remember who that  
 11 individual was?  
 12 A. No.  
 13 Q. Do you remember if it was a man  
 14 or a woman?  
 15 A. I don't recall.  
 16 Q. Do you remember if they asked you  
 17 to make any changes?  
 18 A. No.  
 19 Q. When you arrested your five  
 20 arrestees on 35th Street, did you take any of  
 21 their property at that location or at the  
 22 paddy wagon and place it into any plastic bags  
 23 at that location?  
 24 A. No.  
 25 Q. Did all of their possessions



Page 130

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. Do you recollect whether  
 4 Ms. Aikman had one?  
 5 A. I don't recall. Everything she  
 6 had, I vouchered.  
 7 Q. Do you know what a bandana is?  
 8 A. Yes.  
 9 Q. She did not have a bandana?  
 10 A. No.  
 11 Q. And she did not have a Frisbee?  
 12 A. No.  
 13 Q. Does this refresh your  
 14 recollection that this was filled out in your  
 15 hand and she signed this as to whether or not  
 16 it's possible that the property vouchering  
 17 took place after you had placed after  
 18 Ms. Aikman had been placed into one of the  
 19 cages and then removed from the cage?  
 20 A. No.  
 21 Q. It does not refresh your  
 22 recollection as to that?  
 23 A. No.  
 24 Q. Does it refresh your recollection  
 25 as to whether or not you were the officer who

Page 131

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 vouchered her property?  
 3 A. Yes, I was, yeah.  
 4 Q. You were the officer who  
 5 vouchered the property?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. You had previously said -  
 8 A. I did the voucher, I wrote it  
 9 down. But they were in charge of all the  
 10 booking and all the procedures. I just wrote  
 11 down whatever she had and she signed. That  
 12 was it.  
 13 Q. Okay.  
 14 (Cai Exhibit 5, five online  
 15 booking system arrest worksheets,  
 16 marked for identification, as of this  
 17 date.)  
 18 Q. Sergeant, I'm showing you what  
 19 has been marked as Cai 5. Are these the five  
 20 online booking system arrest worksheets that  
 21 you filled out for the five people who you  
 22 arrested on August 31st, 2005?  
 23 A. Yes. It is my handwriting.  
 24 Q. The first of these is for  
 25 Christina Aikman, correct?

Page 132

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. This narrative section was filled  
 4 in after this was dictated to you by the  
 5 NYPD's legal bureau, correct?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. Now, the charges above that, did  
 8 they also tell you what to write in there?  
 9 A. They told me to add a parading  
 10 without a permit to it.  
 11 Q. But they otherwise told you that  
 12 the disorderly conduct subsections 5 and 6 are  
 13 both appropriate?  
 14 A. Yes, because I personally had  
 15 told them that basically what they were doing,  
 16 and they said charge them with disorderly  
 17 conduct 6 and 6.  
 18 Q. Can you read me, please, what it  
 19 says in the narrative section?  
 20 A. At TPO defendant, alone with over  
 21 100 others, marched on the sidewalk forced  
 22 pedestrians into the street and refused to  
 23 comply with lawful order to disperse.  
 24 Q. Is that the same narrative that  
 25 you have on the next page on the online

Page 133

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 booking system arrest worksheet for David  
 3 Siegel?  
 4 A. Yes. They're all identical.  
 5 Q. Is that the same that you have  
 6 for Tyson Pincher on the third online booking  
 7 system arrest worksheet?  
 8 A. Yes.  
 9 Q. Is that the same that you have on  
 10 the fourth for Kyia Hershey Wilson?  
 11 A. Yes.  
 12 Q. The fifth for Heather Cousins is  
 13 somewhat different in that you started to  
 14 write something on the last line and then  
 15 struck it out, correct?  
 16 A. Yes.  
 17 Q. What does it look like that you  
 18 started to write and then struck out?  
 19 A. Captain Bologna.  
 20 Q. Why did you start to write that  
 21 they refused to comply with Captain Bologna?  
 22 A. I made a mistake.  
 23 Q. What would have been the reason  
 24 why you would have begun to write Captain  
 25 Bologna's name, if anybody else's name?

Page 134

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 MR. WEILER: Objection.  
 3 You can answer.  
 4 A. I thought it wasn't necessary.  
 5 Q. You thought what?  
 6 A. It wasn't necessary to put  
 7 down -- put his name down.  
 8 Q. Well, did you ever hear --  
 9 withdrawn.  
 10 You stated earlier that you never  
 11 heard Captain Bologna give any orders to  
 12 disperse.  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. And so not only is it not  
 15 necessary to write, that would be false,  
 16 correct?  
 17 A. No, it wasn't false because I was  
 18 advised by the legal bureau that Captain  
 19 Bologna gave -- had gave them the order to  
 20 disperse. But I didn't hear it personally,  
 21 but I did give them the order to disperse.  
 22 So I felt it wasn't necessary to  
 23 put his name down because I didn't hear it.  
 24 But he did give the order according to the  
 25 legal bureau of personnel.

Page 135

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 Q. Okay. In the course of your  
 3 duties as a New York City police officer  
 4 outside of the Republican National Convention,  
 5 you fill out many of these online booking  
 6 system arrest worksheets, correct?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. Are you supposed to write in the  
 9 narrative section what you personally  
 10 observed? In the normal course of filling out  
 11 these forms, are you supposed to write in that  
 12 portion what you personally observed?  
 13 A. That would be a no.  
 14 Q. What are you supposed to write in  
 15 the narrative section with regard to what  
 16 allegedly occurred that led to the arrest?  
 17 A. A violation arrest, so everything  
 18 I put down I have to personally observe.  
 19 Q. Because -- just so I understand  
 20 it -- for a violation arrest, you have to  
 21 personally observe it?  
 22 A. Observe it, yes.  
 23 Q. Otherwise you can't make --  
 24 A. You can't write it down. That's  
 25 why I didn't write down Captain Bologna gave

Page 136

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 the order to disperse. Right?  
 3 Q. Okay. What you did write down,  
 4 however, again and we've been through this  
 5 earlier, you did write down that they marched  
 6 on the sidewalk, didn't you?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. And you never saw them march  
 9 anywhere, correct?  
 10 A. I saw them all moving around  
 11 within a radius of two, three feet, as I told  
 12 you before. Right?  
 13 Q. Okay.  
 14 A. And at that time, to my  
 15 knowledge, they were marching because there  
 16 were so many people on the sidewalk. So they  
 17 were like, you know, only 20 people on the  
 18 sidewalk, and they can march freely from one  
 19 location to another location.  
 20 Q. Well, you saw them in the  
 21 roadway, not on the sidewalk, correct?  
 22 A. Yes.  
 23 Q. And you said the only movement  
 24 you say they made towards the east was when  
 25 they were walking backwards, correct?

Page 137

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. And you never saw them at any  
 4 point on the sidewalk ever, correct?  
 5 A. Yes.  
 6 Q. I'll phrase that in the  
 7 affirmative, so the record is clear. Did you  
 8 ever see them on the sidewalk ever?  
 9 A. Not to my knowledge, no.  
 10 Q. So when you wrote here that they  
 11 marched on the sidewalk, that can't be from  
 12 your personal observation, can it?  
 13 A. No.  
 14 Q. So this form should not have been  
 15 filled out like this, correct?  
 16 MR. WEILER: Objection.  
 17 You can answer.  
 18 A. That's the story that they told  
 19 us to write, the legal bureau.  
 20 Q. You filled this form out in this  
 21 way because the legal bureau told you to write  
 22 that there, correct?  
 23 A. That's what I told them what  
 24 happened, what I saw. They were like this is  
 25 the form that you're going to be writing.



Page 138

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 Q. And you told them what you saw --  
 3 withdrawn.  
 4 And what you told them that you  
 5 saw is what you have told me here today that  
 6 you saw, correct?  
 7 A. Not to the details the questions  
 8 you've been asking. They just asked me a  
 9 general -- several general questions.  
 10 Q. What were the several general  
 11 questions?  
 12 MR. WEILER: Objection. I'm not  
 13 going to allow the witness to answer  
 14 that.  
 15 A. I don't recall anyway.  
 16 Q. Did you tell them that you saw  
 17 these five arrestees standing in the roadway  
 18 on 35th Street?  
 19 A. Do I recall -- what was the  
 20 question?  
 21 Q. Did you tell the legal bureau  
 22 that you saw your five arrestees standing in  
 23 the roadway on 35th Street?  
 24 A. I don't recall.  
 25 Q. But you did not tell the legal

Page 139

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 bureau that you ever saw them on the sidewalk,  
 3 correct?  
 4 A. I don't recall.  
 5 Q. You never saw them on the  
 6 sidewalk, correct?  
 7 A. Yes.  
 8 Q. So would you have told the legal  
 9 bureau a lie?  
 10 A. No.  
 11 Q. So is it fair to say that you did  
 12 not tell the legal bureau that you ever saw  
 13 them marching on the sidewalk?  
 14 A. I don't recall what I did tell  
 15 the legal bureau or I didn't tell the legal  
 16 bureau. I don't recall.  
 17 Q. You just remember you told them  
 18 generally?  
 19 A. The story what happened. They  
 20 say here's the narrative that you're going to  
 21 put down.  
 22 Q. In box number 5 here it says  
 23 officer assigned, and you've written no. Now,  
 24 you said that Sergeant Crimmins told you to go  
 25 and make these arrests, correct?

Page 140

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 A. Yes.  
 3 Q. And he was there with you on the  
 4 corner of 35th and Sixth when he told you to  
 5 go make these arrests, correct?  
 6 A. Yes.  
 7 Q. And you only made these arrests  
 8 because sergeant Crimmins told you to?  
 9 A. Yes.  
 10 Q. So you were the assigned officer,  
 11 correct?  
 12 A. No. Assigned officer means when  
 13 an officer didn't personally observe the  
 14 crime. The arrest was assigned to him by the  
 15 supervisor because the other members of /SEFRS  
 16 had arrested that person.  
 17 Q. Okay.  
 18 A. See if I arrest, you know what  
 19 I'm talking about, right?  
 20 Q. I do. So the record is clear, on  
 21 what we've marked as Cai Exhibit 2, write the  
 22 word here, please, at the location where you  
 23 placed Ms. Aikman and the other five arrestees  
 24 into your custody where you handcuffed them,  
 25 please.

Page 141

1 T. Cai - Unproofread Transcript  
 2 A. In this general, this area.  
 3 Q. Write the word here.  
 4 (Witness complies.)  
 5 Q. And you're pointing that arrow  
 6 towards the street, roadway, correct?  
 7 A. This general area. I don't  
 8 recall which exact location.  
 9 Q. I understand?  
 10 A. There were five of them there.  
 11 Q. You say they were arrested in the  
 12 roadway, correct?  
 13 A. Yes.  
 14 Q. So when you wrote here and are  
 15 pointing the arrow at that swirl of lines that  
 16 you just put there, that swirl of lines is in  
 17 the roadway on the western side of  
 18 35th Street --  
 19 A. Yes.  
 20 Q. -- correct?  
 21 A. Yes.  
 22 Q. Right by the crosswalk at Sixth  
 23 Avenue, correct?  
 24 A. Yes.  
 25 Q. In section 19 of this form, sir,

# **EXHIBIT**

# **D**

**Dinler/Schiller -- Revised January 31, 2007<sup>1</sup>**

Below is a list of individuals Defendants may rely on at trial to offer testimony relevant to their defense against Plaintiffs' claims. In addition, Defendants reserve their right to call any additional witnesses it deems necessary to rebut any testimony given by Plaintiffs or their witnesses regarding any claims arising from their arrest and detention during the Republican National Convention ("RNC"). We also reserve the right to call any witnesses needed for authentication or admissibility of exhibits.

**A. Individuals with Knowledge of the Planning, Preparation, Policing and Arrest Processing for the RNC**

- Chief of Department Joseph Esposito – Chief Esposito was the Chief of Department at the time of the RNC and may testify about the planning and preparation for the RNC, including the adoption of particular policies and procedures. Chief Esposito was deposed for 4 days in these actions.
- Assistant Chief John Colgan – Chief Colgan was the acting C.O. of the Criminal Justice Bureau at the time of the RNC and may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and arrest processing during the RNC as well as the operations of the Post Arrest Staging Site ("PASS") at Pier 57 during the RNC. He may also testify regarding security issues and concerns related to disorder control and terrorism. Chief Colgan was deposed for 5 days in these actions.
- Retired Chief Patrick Devlin – Chief Devlin was the Commanding Officer of the Criminal Justice Bureau prior to his retirement and may testify about the planning and preparation for the RNC including the processing of arrestees. Chief Devlin was deposed for 5 days in these actions.
- Deputy Chief Terence Monahan – Chief Monahan was in charge of the mobile field force units during the RNC and may testify about the planning and preparation for the RNC including the formation, organization, and training of the mobile field forces, as well as the deployment of the mobile field forces during the RNC. Chief Monahan was deposed for 3 days in these actions.
- Deputy Chief Thomas Graham - Chief Graham was the Commanding Officer of the Disorder Control Unit at the time of the RNC and may testify about issues concerning disorder control. He may also testify about the planning and preparation for the RNC including the formation, organization, and training of the mobile field forces, as well as

---

<sup>1</sup> As discussed, while plaintiffs contention interrogatories withdraw the conditions of confinement as a liability claim, Chris Dunn stated to me that he still intends to have plaintiffs testify regarding their conditions of confinement to support their damages. To that end, defendants still may rely upon the "conditions" witnesses in our case in chief as part of our defense of the *Schiller* and *Dinler* cases. Since plaintiffs stated that they wanted defendants solely related to this category identified, they have been marked with the following "[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]".

In addition, defendants are still waiting for plaintiffs' revised contention interrogatories with answers to subpart b of all questions as previously agreed. If those responses change the context of the answers currently set forth, defendants reserve their right to call witnesses which are followed with the designation: "[withdrawn based upon plaintiffs' responses to defendants' contention interrogatories]".



the deployment of the mobile field forces during the RNC. Chief Graham was deposed for 4 days in these actions.

- Deputy Chief William Morris – Chief Morris may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and arrest processing during the RNC.
- Deputy Chief Vincent Giordano – Chief Giordano may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and arrest processing during the RNC as well as the operations of the PASS at Pier 57 during the RNC. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Deputy Inspector John O'Connell – Inspector O'Connell may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and arrest processing during the RNC.
- Retired Captain Thomas Armet – Captain Armet may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and arrest processing during the RNC.
- Captain Andrew Savino – Lieutenant Savino may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and arrest processing during the RNC. **[withdrawn based upon plaintiffs' responses to defendants' contention interrogatories]**
- Lieutenant Daniel McFarland – Lieutenant McFarland may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and arrest processing during the RNC. **[withdrawn based upon plaintiffs' responses to defendants' contention interrogatories]**
- Lieutenant Christopher Czark – Lieutenant Czark may testify about arrest processing and conditions at the Mass Arrest Processing Center ("MAPC") located at 125 White Street during the RNC. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Assistant Deputy Commissioner Thomas Doepfner – Commissioner Doepfner may testify about NYPD Legal Bureau's role at the PASS at Pier 57 during the RNC, the permit process and issuance of permits for the RNC.
- ✱ • Special Counsel Ruby Marin-Jordan – Special Counsel Jordan may testify about NYPD Legal Bureau's role at the PASS at Pier 57 during the RNC.
- ✱ • Deputy Inspector Kerry Sweet – Deputy Inspector Sweet may testify about training provided for the RNC.
- ✱ • Assistant Chief Jack McManus – Chief McManus was the Coordinator of the RNC and may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and providing of police services during the RNC.
- Deputy Inspector Matthew Pontillo – Inspector Pontillo assisted Chief McManus and may testify about the planning of, preparation for, and providing of police services during the RNC. **[withdrawn based upon plaintiffs' responses to defendants' contention interrogatories]**
- Director of Central Records Division James Simon – Mr. Simon was the Director of the NYPD Central Records Division at the time of the RNC and may testify about fingerprint processing including fingerprints taken during the RNC. Mr. Simon was deposed in these actions.
- ✱ • Deputy Commissioner of Intelligence David Cohen – Commissioner Cohen was the Deputy Commissioner of Intelligence during the RNC and may testify about the need for and development of intelligence information in connection with security issues during the RNC.
- Deputy Commissioner of Administration Charles DiRienzo – Commissioner DiRienzo was the Deputy Commissioner of Administration at the time of the RNC and may testify



about the planning and preparation of the PASS at Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**

- Deputy Inspector Thomas Pellegrino – Inspector Pellegrino assisted Deputy Commissioner DiRienzo and may testify about the planning and preparation of the PASS at Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Deputy Inspector Michael Yanosik – Captain Yanosik was with the NYPD Building Maintenance Division at the time of the RNC and may testify about the planning and preparation for use of Pier 57 as a PASS. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Amir Rasheed, Director, Occupational Safety And Health Section – Mr. Rasheed may testify about the conditions of Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Shakeel Ansari, Industrial Hygienist, Occupational Safety And Health Section – Mr. Ansari may testify about the conditions of Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Hudson River Park Trust – A representative from the Hudson River Park Trust may testify about the use and conditions of Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Ove Arup & Partners – A representative from Ove Arup & Partners may testify about the conditions of Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- AKRF Inc. – A representative from AKRF, Inc. may testify about the conditions of Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Airtek Environmental Corp. – A representative from Airtek may testify about the conditions of Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- TRC Environmental Corp. – A representative from TRC may testify about the conditions of Pier 57. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Jorge Ocasio – Deputy Warden Ocasio may testify about the Department of Correction's planning and preparation for and custody of arrestees during the RNC. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**
- Criminal Justice Coordinator's Office – A representative from the CJC Office may testify about the arrest to arraignment process during the RNC. **[withdrawn based upon plaintiffs' responses to defendants' contention interrogatories]**
- Office of Court Administration – A representative from the OCA may testify about the arrest to arraignment process during the RNC. **[withdrawn based upon plaintiffs' responses to defendants' contention interrogatories]**
- Civilian Complaint Review Board – A representative from the CCRB may testify about the number of RNC-related complaints they received as well as their subsequent investigations and findings.
- New York State Commission of Correction – A representative from the State Commission of Correction may testify about conditions at 125 White Street and 100 Centre Street. **[defense witness for its case in chief regarding conditions of confinement]**.

B. Individuals with Knowledge of the Events at Union Square/16th Street on August 31, 2004

- Inspector James Essig
- Inspector Gerald Dieckmann
- Deputy Chief Thomas Galati
- Captain Anthony Johnson
- Lieutenant Patrick Cortright
- Lieutenant John Pribetich
- Deputy Chief Terence Monahan
- Captain Alex Laera
- Lt. Daniel Hayes
- Sgt. Hugh Byrne
- Sgt. Steven Dean
- Sgt. Evan Minogue
- Sgt. Michael Sold
- P.O. Frank Angelone
- P.O. Pasquale Bernardo
- P.O. Steven BenJacob
- P.O. Dominick Bizzaro
- P.O. Jason Carpentieri
- P.O. Paul Castel
- P.O. Henry Celestino
- P.O. Eunpa Chun
- P.O. Geirge Christiansen
- P.O. Steven Daniels
- P.O. Salvatore DiMaggio
- P.O. Lucille Fredericks
- P.O. John Gagliardi
- P.O. Micheal Hayes
- P.O. Daniel Jasinski
- P.O. Edward Julich
- P.O. Francis Knowles
- P.O. Joseph Lamendola
- P.O. Kenneth Larson
- P.O. Matthew Loftus
- P.O. Jeremiah Malone
- P.O. Robert Martin
- P.O. Matthew Murray
- P.O. Gerard Neumann
- P.O. Daniel O'Rourke
- P.O. Marc Pavlica
- P.O. Kenneth Prisco

- P.O. Gennaro Prudenete
- P.O. Emanuel Pryos
- P.O. Kenneth Singleton
- P.O. Juan Fernandez
- P.O. Kathleen Long
- P.O. John McCoade
- P.O. Prince Williams
- Lt. Mark Keegan
- Sgt. Jorge Encarnacion
- P.O. Abdiel Anderson
- P.O. Charles Chaplar
- P.O. Darren Rock
- P.O. Steven Ricca
- P.O. Alexis Fernandez
- Captain Paul DeEntremont
- Lt. Dave Sieve
- P.O. Brian Flemming
- Sgt. Allison Keating
- Detective Martinez
- Sgt. Conor McCourt
- Detective Kevin Dineen
- Detective Anthony Rupolo
- Detective Kenneth Marini
- Detective Michael Gordon
- Assistant Deputy Commissioner Thomas Doepfner

C. Individuals with Knowledge of the Events at Church/Fulton Streets on August 31, 2004

- Deputy Chief Terence Monahan
- Deputy Chief Thomas Galati
- Inspector James Shea
- Deputy Inspector James Shea
- Capt. Paul De Entremont
- Capt. Dermot Shea
- Lt. David Siev
- Lt. Brian Jackson
- Lt. James Griffin
- Lt. James O'Sullivan
- Sgt. Sean O'Connor
- Sgt. Frederic Grover
- Sgt. Geraldine Falcon
- Det. Anthony Dellavalle

- P.O. Anthony Kempinski
- P.O. Marc Manara
- P.O. Santo Ippolito
- P.O. William Haut
- P.O. Michael Safoschnik
- P.O. Steven Toth
- P.O. Brian Rickli
- P.O. Michael Boyle
- Ed Hedemann
- War Resistor's League
- Assistant Commissioner Robert Messner